

David Crawford reports from LTT's 2011 Modelling World event

Dutch short-term forecasting system set to grow

FORECASTING

DUTCH COMPANY Modelit announced plans to gain 750,000 new users of its TripCast short-term travel time forecasting by service by end-2011.

Modelit owner Nanne van de Zijpp told a session on transport modelling that the firm had recently won a €200,000 prize from the Netherlands Ministry of Infrastructure and Environment prize, conditional on achieving this target, to fund the development of new applications.

The company has already won €200,000, with a 500,000 user target (achieved), in an earlier, 2008, competition, for its TripCast algorithm. It used the prize to develop its website.

The TripCast service works by path computation based on average travel times, with retrieval of loop data from a historic database. It can take into account factors including weather conditions (rain, snow, light condition), summer and bank holidays, seasons and the age of data.

Van der Zijpp said he believed non-users benefit as much as users because, as the latter avoid congested time-slots and routes, the former enjoy resulting reduced travel times.

Modelit plans to achieve its new target by improving its website and hardware, creating new online services, and developing a smartphone application.

One of Modelit's client is Traffical, whose Black-Berry and I-phone service integrates a travel diary with journey time forecasts and alerts. Dutch financial institution Rabobank is already equipping its employees.

The company aims to expand its user base in the Netherlands, and find international partners for wider implementations.

Data stewardship a key issue in Polak's plan for modelling

MODELLING

A FIVE-POINT plan for the future of transport modelling and appraisal was presented to the 200-plus attendees at the 2011 Modelling World conference organised by LTT.

In an opening plenary, Professor John Polak warned of transport models suffering from the disadvantages of 'big brain' architectures.

These, said the director of the Imperial College Centre for Transport Studies, include a lack of scalability when it comes to 'big data', already an issue for traffic control systems and emerging strongly in activity-based modelling.

Data quality and management emerged as central conference themes. "Historically", said Polak, "large amounts have been collected but their stewardship is often very poor. Trendy 'open data' initiatives per se do absolutely nothing to address the

issue, and access problems to existing data are at least as important as gaps in coverage."

Solutions could lie in semantic web technologies, transferring data quality standards from other domains and using existing methods of optimally updating large historical datasets. "The current financial crisis might stimulate such innovations," he said.

In the process industries, modelling has begun to move away from centralised hardware and software architecture, said Polak. Among the benefits are that:

- Computation can be distributed to the grid/cloud, reducing local hardware costs and ICT policy dependence; and
- Software as service solutions enable sharing across different users, reducing procurement and maintenance costs.

Polak foresaw future models based on composable web services, a market which would accelerate innovation and reduce

scope for supplier rent seeking. This "beneficial trajectory", he warned, is neither easy nor assured, "but its impact could be profound".

He highlighted possible new data sources, eg MESSAGE project-type networks of miniature air quality sensors on roadside furniture, vehicles and even travellers, communicating wirelessly to create "an entirely new data collection infrastructure to manage traffic-related emissions"; and the FREEFLOW project's traffic sensor processing and data fusion for network state estimation and prediction.

In the short-term, he noted, the transport sector faces both a 30 per cent reduction in resource expenditure over next five years, as a result of the financial crisis, and an unprecedented set of inter-related policy pressures. Delivering on commitments on climate change will mean both mitigation (with a target of 80

per cent reduction in GHG emissions by 2050); and adaptation of infrastructure (to overcome vulnerability and build in resilience).

At the same time, it will have to ensure that investments contribute to economic competitiveness, achieve greater impacts from demand management, and adapt to the effects of rapidly changing technologies. "Each of these raises new and significant challenges for modelling and appraisal," he said.

In response, he urged a five point plan:

- Make more effective use of existing data resources;
- Make better use of potential new data sources;
- Drive academic and commercial innovation in modelling architectures;
- Accelerate the progress of relevant modelling research into practice; and
- Protect standards in education and training.

Time savings clash in debate on appraisal quality

APPRAISAL

CLIMAXING THE conference, urban and regional policy specialist Alan Wenban-Smith and Chris Riley, an associate with economic consultancy Oxera, clashed over the future of transport appraisals. Urging radical change, Wenban-Smith said current practice is "not fit for purpose".

"It does not work in detail, depends on time savings as proxy for welfare and causes huge discrepancies with direct measures of welfare. Nor does it work at a strategic level, with transport being treated in isolation. Dependence on time savings has damaged UK cities' economies, social fabric and environment."

The present system, he said, facilitates centralised decision

making by the DfT, but was neither strategic nor integrated. Any alternative should reflect the major dynamic and strategic roles of transport and support devolved and integrated decision-making.

"How", he continued, "can an appraisal help the DfT to focus on strategic spatial and resource issues? Are time savings of any value at this level (even if reliably forecast and valued)?"

"How can it help Local Enterprise Partnerships and Integrated Transport Authorities with their spatial strategies and transport priorities? Is it time for radical changes in modelling, appraisal and governance?"

Riley defended the present appraisal methodology as "adopting the right basic approach", with its focus on social welfare within a broader overall approach to decision making. "Value for money is a key criterion, but other factors always need to be taken into account," he said.

He accepted that the practical implementation of modelling and valuation could be improved significantly, but "incremental improvement is preferable to radical redesign, throwing the baby out with the bath water. Rigorous and transparent approaches are needed, but not spurious accuracy".

Challenging his audience,



Wenban-Smith: radical change necessary



Riley: incremental improvement preferable

Riley asked: "Is it feasible to improve models to the extent needed? What are the priority areas and most promising developments?"

"Are modellers up for it? And up to it?"

Scrap as DfT

FREIGHT

by Andrew Forster

MINISTERS ARE to scrap plans for long local authority off alliance of environment safety and rail freight groups.

Consultation closes on the DfT's plan to semi trailer lengths. 15.65 metres, a move to increase the maximum length to 18.55 metres.

In its response, the Environment Technica Group (TAG) predicted vehicles will present safety risk to pedestrians and cyclists and increase footways. "It is not the busiest urban area (London), longer being removed largely their impact on vulnerable users," says TAG.

The point is amplified response by the Ca

New lor

LORRY CHARGE

EU MEMBER STATES to charge lorries for and noise costs under the 1999 Eurovignette that have been agreed states, MEPs and the Commission.

But proposals to a

Reform grant ru

FREIGHT

SCOTLAND'S R transport partnership for major reforms regime for switching road to rail and water.

The RTPs have Scottish transport minister Brown and the DfT the Freight Facility regime to be made to businesses. FFG funds capital for freight terminals and modal shift.

Grant criteria are by the DfT, with g based on a calculated number of road freight saved by transferring rail or water. The hierarchy values are given lorry miles on con